County Level Demographics for Working Connections Child Care, State Fiscal Year 2005

Jialing Huang Laura Schrager

Division of Management Resources and Services

and

Carla Gira

Division of Child Care and Early Learning

Economic Services Administration Department of Social and Health Services Olympia, Washington 98504-5480

March 2006

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES

Robin Arnold-Williams, Secretary

ECONOMIC SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Deborah Marley, Assistant Secretary

DIVISION OF CHILD CARE AND EARLY LEARNING

Rachael Langen, Director

DIVISION OF MANAGEMENT RESOURCES AND SERVICES

Judith Devine, Director

County Level Demographics for Working Connections Child Care, State Fiscal Year 2005

Table of Contents

Highli	ghts	1
Metho	odological Note	2
Table	s	
Table	1Average Monthly Number of Children and Households Using Working	
	Connection Child Care in SFY05 by TANF Status (July 2004-June 2005)	3
Table	2Percent of Children and Households Using Working Connection	
	Child Care in SFY05 by TANF Status (July 2004-June 2005)	4
Table	3Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connection	
	Child Care by Facility Type and TANF Status in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005) _	5
Table	4Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connection	
	Child Care by Facility Type in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)	6
Table	5Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care	
	by Facility Type in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)	7
Table	6Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care by Ethnicity in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005), TANF Only	8
Table	7Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care	
	by Ethnicity in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005), Non-TANF Only	9
Table	8Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care	
	by Ethnicity in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)	_ 10
Table	9Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care	
	by Ethnicity in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)	_ 11
Table	10Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care	
	by Age of Child in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005), TANF Only	_ 12
Table	11Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care	
	by Age of Child in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005), Non-TANF Only	. 13
Table	12Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care	
	by Age of Child in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)	. 14
Table	13Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care	
	by Age of Child in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)	_ 15

MAPS

Map 1—Percent of WCCC Children on TANF, by County of Provider for SFY05	17
Map 2—Percent of WCCC Children Using Providers Exempt	
from Licensing, by County of Provider for SFY05	17
Map 3—Percent of WCCC Children Using Center Providers,	
by County of Provider for SFY05	18
Map 4—Percent of WCCC Children Using Licensed Family Home Providers,	
by County of Provider for SFY05	18
Map 5—White, Non-Hispanic Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children,	
by County of Provider for SFY05	19
Map 6—Hispanic Children (Any Race) as a Percent of All WCCC Children,	
by County of Provider for SFY05	19
Map 7—Black or African American Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children,	
by County of Provider for SFY05	20
Map 8—Asian or Pacific Islander Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children,	
by County of Provider for SFY05	20
Map 9—American Indian or Alaska Native Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children,	
by County of Provider for SFY05	21

Highlights

This report provides county level demographics on children and households that received child care services through Working Connections Child Care (WCCC) in State Fiscal Year 2005 (SFY05: July 1, 2004 – June 30, 2005). In addition to the notes on each table, detail about the information in the tables and maps is presented in the Methodological Note on the following page. The data in general speak for themselves, but a few findings are worth highlighting:

- Statewide, 23% of WCCC children that used subsidized child care in SFY05 were in households receiving Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF). Of the twelve counties with percentages above the state average, nine were west of the Cascades. The five counties with the highest percentage of WCCC children in households receiving TANF were Klickitat (36%), Skamania (33%), Grays Harbor (31%), King (28%) and Pend Oreille (27%).
- Statewide 51% of WCCC children using subsidized child care in SFY05 received care in child care centers. All five metropolitan counties in Washington (Clark, King, Pierce, Snohomish, and Spokane) exceeded the statewide average. Of the nine counties where less than one-third of the children using subsidized child care were in centers, all but Skagit County were in Eastern Washington.
- Statewide 28% of WCCC children using subsidized child care in SFY05 received care in a licensed family home. All five metropolitan counties in Washington (Clark, King, Pierce, Snohomish, and Spokane) used less than the statewide average. Over half of all children in WCCC were in licensed family homes in five rural counties in Central Washington (Adams, 61%; Chelan, 57%; Douglas, 68%; Franklin, 61%; and Grant, 55%).
- Statewide 20% of WCCC children using subsidized child care in SFY05 received care in an exempt setting, either being cared for in their own home or in the home of a close relative. Only in three rural counties (Ferry, 60%; Pend Oreille, 43%; and Stevens, 34%) were over one-third of all children served by WCCC cared for by exempt providers.
- Statewide, 20% of all WCCC children are Hispanic (of any race), but in six counties in Central Washington over 50% of all WCCC children are Hispanic (Adams, 76%; Chelan, 52%; Douglas, 51%; Franklin, 72%; Grant, 54%; Yakima, 61%). Over one-quarter of all Hispanic children served in WCCC are in Yakima County (3,284 out of 12,211).
- The number of Black or African American children served in King and Pierce Counties per month is 5,293 (3,462 in King County and 1,831 in Pierce County), almost 80% of the total Black or African American children served through WCCC per month (6,672).
- The number of Asian or Pacific Islanders served in King and Pierce Counties per month is 1,016 (759 in King County and 257 in Pierce County), 70% of the total Asian or Pacific Islander children served through WCCC per month (1,458).
- The number of American Indian or Alaska Native children served by WCCC is scattered around the state; 55% are in the five counties that served at least 100 American Indian or Alaska Native children per month (King, 135; Okanogan, 108; Pierce, 111; Spokane, 164; and Yakima, 203). In three counties (Ferry, 26%; Okanogan, 17%; Stevens, 12%) over 10% of children served through WCCC were American Indian or Alaska Native children. Of the 1,311 American Indian or Alaska Native children served per month through WCCC, 28% were in either Yakima or Spokane counties.

Methodological Note

Counts of Children and Households. The information in this report is based on the Social Services Payment System (SSPS) payment files current through December 2005. It covers child care services provided through Working Connections Child Care during the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. SSPS files do not contain a personal identifier. Fields containing personal information on the primary recipient (household head) were used to create a unique personal identifier for households. Similar fields on the service recipient (person receiving the service or child) were used to create a unique personal identifier for children.

TANF status. All primary recipients were linked to the client tables in ACES to establish whether or not the primary recipient was enrolled in TANF for the month that they used subsidized child care services. The TANF status of the child was based on the TANF status of the primary recipient on whose behalf child care was authorized.

County of Provider. The county of the provider was identified using information in the licensing files indicating the physical location of the provider in addition to the variable for the provider's county contained in the SSPS payment file. Children using providers in more than one county were assigned to the in-state county in which the most payments were made. Children reported in the out-of-state category are those who only used out-of-state providers in the given month. Families approved to receive child care subsidies can use out-of-state providers if the provider meets their state's statutory requirements for licensing and has a cleared criminal background check; most of these situations involve families living near Oregon or Idaho.

Type of Provider. This information is from the SSPS payment files. Children receiving care from more than one type of provider are counted in multiple categories. Exempt Care is child care that is exempt from licensing and is provided either in the child's home or in the home of a relative; Center Care is in a child care center; and Family Home Care is provided by a licensed or certified family home provider. Children whose care could not be assigned to one of these major care categories are reported in the Other/Unknown category.

Race/Ethnicity of Child. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for coding both race and ethnicity. The categories used in this report are: White, Non-Hispanic; Hispanic, Any Race; Black or African American, Non-Hispanic; Asian or Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic; American Indian or Alaska Native, Non-Hispanic; and Other.

Age of Child. The age of the child was identified using information in the SSPS payment file indicating the age of the child when services were received. The following age categories were used: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; Toddler, 12-29 months old; Preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; Schoolage, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade.

Percent. Percent is based on average monthly number. In three counties averaging less than 25 children served per month, percentage calculations were suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.

For further clarification on the information in this report please contact: Jialing Huang, Division of Management Resources and Services (phone: 360-725-4684; email: huangj@dshs.wa.gov).

Table 1:

Average Monthly Number of Children and Households Using
Working Connections Child Care in SFY05 by TANF Status (July 2004-June 2005)

	Number	of Children	Number	of Households
County of Provider	TANF	NON-TANF	TANF	NON-TANF
01 ADAMS	36	201	21	109
02 ASOTIN	62	217	37	126
03 BENTON	312	1,516	189	878
04 CHELAN	175	1,068	100	601
05 CLALLAM	177	487	114	308
06 CLARK	979	3,075	591	1,811
07 COLUMBIA	2	12	1	8
08 COWLITZ	413	1,173	249	654
09 DOUGLAS	56	466	31	254
10 FERRY	10	41	5	24
11 FRANKLIN	271	1,422	140	751
12 GARFIELD	0	3	0	2
13 GRANT	266	1,119	139	590
14 GRAYS HARBOR	222	500	134	309
15 ISLAND	52	343	31	210
16 JEFFERSON	34	139	25	93
17 KING	3,232	8,466	1,961	5,240
18 KITSAP	356	1,356	229	866
19 KITTITAS	51	148	34	96
20 KLICKITAT	39	69	23	46
21 LEWIS	254	758	154	444
22 LINCOLN	9	52	5	29
23 MASON	105	392	69	233
24 OKANOGAN	58	561	37	299
25 PACIFIC	33	118	20	65
26 PEND OREILLE	19	51	13	29
27 PIERCE	2,026	5,731	1,235	3,410
28 SAN JUAN	5	68	3	45
29 SKAGIT	271	1,292	169	795
30 SKAMANIA	21	43	12	24
31 SNOHOMISH	1,065	3,162	674	1,993
32 SPOKANE	1,245	5,149	762	3,164
33 STEVENS	67	273	41	152
34 THURSTON	476	1,726	318	1,072
35 WAHKIAKUM	4	15	2	10
36 WALLA WALLA	105	446	- 61	267
37 WHATCOM	326	1,237	199	781
38 WHITMAN	26	166	17	109
39 YAKIMA	1,205	4,188	637	2,239
40 OUT OF STATE	55	236	29	127
TOTAL	14,121	47,484	8,511	28,260

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made.

Table 2:

Percent of Children and Households Using
Working Connections Child Care in SFY05 by TANF Status (July 2004-June 2005)

		Percent	of Children	Percent	of Households
Count	y of Provider	TANF	NON-TANF	TANF	NON-TANF
01 ADAM	S	15.3%	84.7%	16.4%	83.6%
02 ASOT	IN	22.3%	77.7%	22.7%	77.3%
03 BENT	ON	17.1%	82.9%	17.7%	82.3%
04 CHEL	AN	14.1%	85.9%	14.2%	85.8%
05 CLALI	LAM	26.6%	73.4%	27.1%	72.9%
06 CLAR	K	24.2%	75.8%	24.6%	75.4%
07 COLU	MBIA *				
08 COWL	.ITZ	26.0%	74.0%	27.5%	72.5%
09 DOUG	LAS	10.8%	89.2%	10.8%	89.2%
10 FERR	Y	19.8%	80.2%	16.6%	83.4%
11 FRAN	KLIN	16.0%	84.0%	15.7%	84.3%
12 GARF	IELD *				
13 GRAN	Т	19.2%	80.8%	19.1%	80.9%
14 GRAY	S HARBOR	30.7%	69.3%	30.2%	69.8%
15 ISLAN	D	13.0%	87.0%	13.0%	87.0%
16 JEFFE	RSON	19.5%	80.5%	21.1%	78.9%
17 KING		27.6%	72.4%	27.2%	72.8%
18 KITSA	\P	20.8%	79.2%	20.9%	79.1%
19 KITTI7	ΓAS	25.4%	74.6%	26.3%	73.7%
20 KLICK	ITAT	36.2%	63.8%	33.7%	66.3%
21 LEWIS	3	25.1%	74.9%	25.8%	74.2%
22 LINCO	LN	14.8%	85.2%	13.9%	86.1%
23 MASO	N	21.2%	78.8%	22.9%	77.1%
24 OKAN	OGAN	9.3%	90.7%	11.1%	88.9%
25 PACIF	IC .	22.0%	78.0%	23.9%	76.1%
26 PEND	OREILLE	27.3%	72.7%	30.7%	69.3%
27 PIERC	E	26.1%	73.9%	26.6%	73.4%
28 SAN J	UAN	6.7%	93.3%	6.4%	93.6%
29 SKAG	IT	17.3%	82.7%	17.5%	82.5%
30 SKAM	ANIA	33.2%	66.8%	32.8%	67.2%
31 SNOH	OMISH	25.2%	74.8%	25.3%	74.7%
32 SPOK	ANE	19.5%	80.5%	19.4%	80.6%
33 STEVI	ENS	19.6%	80.4%	21.2%	78.8%
34 THUR	STON	21.6%	78.4%	22.9%	77.1%
35 WAHK	(IAKUM *				
36 WALL	A WALLA	19.0%	81.0%	18.5%	81.5%
37 WHAT	СОМ	20.9%	79.1%	20.3%	79.7%
38 WHITI	MAN	13.7%	86.3%	13.4%	86.6%
39 YAKIN	1A	22.3%	77.7%	22.1%	77.9%
40 OUT 0	F STATE	19.0%	81.0%	18.7%	81.3%
TOTA	L	22.9%	77.1%	23.1%	76.9%

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. Percent is based on average monthly number of children/households. 4. * Starred counties: percentage data for three counties serving less than 25 children per month on WCCC is suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.

Table 3:

Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Facility Type and TANF Status in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)

			Т	ANF			Non	-TANF	
	County of Provider	Exempt	Center	Family	Other/	Exempt	Center	Family	Other/
				Home	Unknown			Home	Unknown
01	ADAMS	6	9	22	1	60	21	127	1
02	ASOTIN	13	48	4	1	71	139	15	4
03	BENTON	55	153	109	2	426	612	527	6
04	CHELAN	35	63	82	1	197	241	646	4
05	CLALLAM	28	124	33	2	101	282	122	3
06	CLARK	219	601	182	8	832	1,617	734	18
07	COLUMBIA	1	0	2	0	3	0	10	0
80	COWLITZ	94	258	87	3	347	576	327	5
09	DOUGLAS	6	23	29	0	60	78	337	2
10	FERRY	5	4	4	1	30	11	4	0
11	FRANKLIN	43	66	167	1	320	228	905	6
12	GARFIELD	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
13	GRANT	66	87	117	2	251	222	665	3
14	GRAYS HARBOR	42	116	74	1	149	209	163	3
15	ISLAND	13	19	23	0	84	147	128	2
16	JEFFERSON	6	21	7	0	26	75	45	2
17	KING	486	1,795	972	32	1,377	5,031	2,161	64
18	KITSAP	46	235	78	4	232	835	312	11
19	KITTITAS	2	33	18	0	21	82	51	2
20	KLICKITAT	3	20	17	1	25	22	26	1
21	LEWIS	45	151	62	2	201	328	256	2
22	LINCOLN	2	2	5	0	12	16	26	0
23	MASON	24	49	35	1	95	160	147	2
24	OKANOGAN	22	22	16	0	167	220	191	1
25	PACIFIC	4	26	4	0	30	65	29	1
26	PEND OREILLE	4	10	6	0	27	18	7	0
27	PIERCE	273	1,456	308	28	1,217	3,584	1,033	52
28	SAN JUAN	1	5	0	0	2	55	10	0
29	SKAGIT	45	110	120	2	229	419	677	7
30	SKAMANIA	2	11	9	0	8	20	18	0
31	SNOHOMISH	129	662	284	9	457	1,956	816	21
32	SPOKANE	184	924	158	8	1,078	3,453	783	32
33	STEVENS	17	35	17	1	100	97	81	2
34	THURSTON	61	339	92	4	297	1,108	384	9
35	WAHKIAKUM	0	4	1	0	2	11	2	0
36	WALLA WALLA	34	29	45	1	147	182	126	2
_	WHATCOM	54	198	79	3	339	668	284	7
	WHITMAN	4	20	3	0	24	114	31	1
	YAKIMA	302	454	470	6	1,187	1,218	1,855	21
40	OUT OF STATE	19	20	17	0	68	92	76	1
	TOTAL	2,392	8,199	3,753	125	10,301	24,209	14,133	299

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. Children receiving care from more than one type of provider are counted in more than one care category. Only children with codes in none of the three major care categories (exempt, center, family homes) are reported in the Other/Unknown category.

Table 4:

Average Monthly Number of Children Using
Working Connections Child Care by Facility Type in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)

	County of Provider	Exempt	Center	Family Home	Other/Unknown
01	ADAMS	66	30	149	1
02	ASOTIN	83	187	18	5
03	BENTON	481	765	635	8
04	CHELAN	232	304	729	5
05	CLALLAM	129	405	156	5
06	CLARK	1,050	2,218	916	26
07	COLUMBIA	3	0	11	0
80	COWLITZ	441	834	414	7
09	DOUGLAS	66	101	366	2
10	FERRY	35	14	8	1
11	FRANKLIN	362	294	1,071	7
12	GARFIELD	3	0	0	0
13	GRANT	317	309	782	5
14	GRAYS HARBOR	191	326	236	4
15	ISLAND	97	165	151	2
16	JEFFERSON	32	95	53	2
17	KING	1,863	6,826	3,133	96
18	KITSAP	277	1,070	390	16
19	KITTITAS	23	115	69	2
20	KLICKITAT	28	42	44	1
21	LEWIS	246	478	318	4
22	LINCOLN	14	18	30	1
23	MASON	119	209	182	3
24	OKANOGAN	189	242	206	2
25	PACIFIC	34	91	33	1
26	PEND OREILLE	31	28	13	0
27	PIERCE	1,490	5,040	1,341	80
28	SAN JUAN	3	60	11	0
29	SKAGIT	274	529	796	9
30	SKAMANIA	10	30	27	0
31	SNOHOMISH	586	2,618	1,100	30
32	SPOKANE	1,261	4,377	940	41
33	STEVENS	117	132	98	3
34	THURSTON	359	1,447	476	13
35	WAHKIAKUM	2	14	3	0
36	WALLA WALLA	181	211	171	3
37	WHATCOM	393	866	363	10
38	WHITMAN	28	134	34	1
39	YAKIMA	1,489	1,672	2,325	27
40	OUT OF STATE	86	112	92	2
	TOTAL	12,693	32,408	17,886	424

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. Children receiving care from more than one type of provider are counted in more than one care category. Only children with codes in none of the three major care categories (exempt, center, family homes) are reported in the Other/Unknown category.

Table 5:
Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Facility Type in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)

	County of Provider	Exempt	Center	Family Home	Other/Unknown
01	ADAMS	27.8%	12.6%	62.8%	0.5%
02	ASOTIN	29.9%	67.1%	6.5%	1.9%
03	BENTON	26.3%	41.8%	34.8%	0.4%
04	CHELAN	18.7%	24.5%	58.6%	0.4%
05	CLALLAM	19.4%	61.0%	23.4%	0.8%
06	CLARK	25.9%	54.7%	22.6%	0.6%
07	COLUMBIA *				
80	COWLITZ	27.8%	52.6%	26.1%	0.5%
09	DOUGLAS	12.6%	19.2%	70.0%	0.5%
10	FERRY	68.1%	28.0%	15.9%	1.5%
11	FRANKLIN	21.4%	17.3%	63.3%	0.4%
12	GARFIELD *				
13	GRANT	22.9%	22.3%	56.4%	0.3%
14	GRAYS HARBOR	26.5%	45.1%	32.7%	0.5%
15	ISLAND	24.7%	41.8%	38.2%	0.5%
16	JEFFERSON	18.5%	55.2%	30.4%	1.3%
17	KING	15.9%	58.4%	26.8%	0.8%
18	KITSAP	16.2%	62.5%	22.8%	0.9%
19	KITTITAS	11.5%	57.5%	34.6%	0.8%
20	KLICKITAT	25.9%	38.6%	40.2%	0.9%
21	LEWIS	24.3%	47.3%	31.4%	0.4%
22	LINCOLN	23.3%	29.4%	49.5%	0.8%
23	MASON	23.8%	42.0%	36.5%	0.6%
24	OKANOGAN	30.6%	39.2%	33.3%	0.3%
25	PACIFIC	22.4%	60.3%	21.5%	0.5%
26	PEND OREILLE	44.1%	40.2%	18.0%	0.5%
27	PIERCE	19.2%	65.0%	17.3%	1.0%
28	SAN JUAN	3.9%	82.6%	14.5%	0.3%
29	SKAGIT	17.5%	33.8%	51.0%	0.6%
30	SKAMANIA	15.7%	47.1%	41.8%	0.6%
31	SNOHOMISH	13.9%	61.9%	26.0%	0.7%
32	SPOKANE	19.7%	68.5%	14.7%	0.6%
33	STEVENS	34.4%	38.8%	28.8%	0.8%
34	THURSTON	16.3%	65.7%	21.6%	0.6%
35	WAHKIAKUM *				
36	WALLA WALLA	32.8%	38.3%	31.0%	0.5%
37	WHATCOM	25.2%	55.4%	23.2%	0.7%
38	WHITMAN	14.5%	69.9%	17.8%	0.5%
39	YAKIMA	27.6%	31.0%	43.1%	0.5%
40	OUT OF STATE	29.6%	38.5%	31.8%	0.5%
	TOTAL	20.6%	52.6%	29.0%	0.7%

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. Children receiving care from more than one type of provider are counted in more than one care category; thus the percentages will add up to more than 100%. Only children with codes in none of the three major care categories (exempt, center, family homes) are reported in the Other/Unknown category. 3. Percent is based on average monthly number of children. 4. * Starred counties: percentage data for three counties serving less than 25 children per month on WCCC is suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.

Table 6:
Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Ethnicity in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)
TANF Only

				Non	-Hispanic Minor	ity Groups		
		White, Non-	Hispanic,	Black or	Asian or	American Indian		•
Co	ounty of Provider	Hispanic	Any Race	African American	Pacific Islander	or Alaska Native	Other	Missing
01	ADAMS	7	27	0	0	0	0	2
02	ASOTIN	54	3	1	0	1	1	2
03	BENTON	167	101	9	1	1	11	23
04	CHELAN	96	65	0	0	1	5	8
05	CLALLAM	150	10	1	1	7	3	6
06	CLARK	695	93	91	14	9	34	43
07	COLUMBIA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
80	COWLITZ	327	44	8	1	9	13	10
09	DOUGLAS	30	22	1	0	1	1	2
10	FERRY	7	3	0	0	0	0	1
11	FRANKLIN	46	182	9	1	1	8	24
12	GARFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	GRANT	112	132	6	0	1	1	15
14	GRAYS HARBOR	168	29	3	0	15	4	4
15	ISLAND	31	11	2	1	0	2	3
16	JEFFERSON	27	0	2	0	1	1	3
17	KING	1,023	297	1,265	148	55	180	265
18	KITSAP	226	33	42	13	14	19	10
19	KITTITAS	37	5	2	1	1	3	3
20	KLICKITAT	26	7	0	0	4	1	1
21	LEWIS	208	27	3	1	2	11	5
22	LINCOLN	8	0	0	0	0	0	1
23	MASON	79	15	1	1	4	3	4
24	OKANOGAN	35	17	0	0	3	0	2
25	PACIFIC	22	9	0	0	1	1	0
26	PEND OREILLE	19	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	PIERCE	927	163	576	56	33	148	123
28	SAN JUAN	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	SKAGIT	146	99	2	1	6	4	12
30	SKAMANIA	18	0	0	1 14	1	0	2
31 32	SNOHOMISH SPOKANE	723 972	93 62	77 98	13	37 22	62 28	60 50
-	STEVENS	972 58	3	90	13	2	∠o 1	2
33 34	THURSTON	364	3 31	28	12	7	21	∠ 15
35	WAHKIAKUM	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	WALLA WALLA	53	43	3	1	0	1	5
37	WHATCOM	182	80		7	28	8	15
38	WHITMAN	23	0	3	0	0	0	0
39	YAKIMA	289	690	15	3	99	27	83
40	OUT OF STATE	35	7	7	3	2	1	1
	TOTAL	7,397	2.404	2,258	293	367	601	802
	IOIAL	1,001	2,404	2,200	233	301	JU 1	002

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for the coding of both race and ethnicity.

Table 7:

Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Ethnicity in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)

Non-TANF Only

				No	n-Hispanic Mino	rity Groups		
		White, Non-	Hispanic,	Black or	Asian or	American Indian		
C	ounty of Provider	Hispanic	Any Race	African American	Pacific Islander	or Alaska Native	Other	Missing
01	ADAMS	39	154	0	0	1	0	7
02	ASOTIN	178	21	3	2	5	3	5
03	BENTON	806	509	21	10	7	52	111
04	CHELAN	408	577	3	1	6	21	53
05	CLALLAM	398	17	3	6	48	6	9
06	CLARK	2,378	272	164	50	19	113	78
07	COLUMBIA	10	2	0	0	1	0	0
80	COWLITZ	986	104	11	10	13	21	29
09	DOUGLAS	178	246	0	2	1	9	29
10	FERRY	27	0	0	0	13	1	0
11	FRANKLIN	187	1,041	19	3	3	44	124
	GARFIELD	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
13	GRANT	417	621	12	1	5	6	57
14	GRAYS HARBOR	389	59	3	4	27	8	11
_	ISLAND	213	43	14	8	3	51	12
16	JEFFERSON	125	4	1	1	2	4	2
17	KING	3,397	947	2,197	611	80	490	743
18	KITSAP	912	84	124	44	52	104	37
19	KITTITAS	110	22	0	2	0	6	8
20	KLICKITAT	59	6	0	0	3	1	0
21	LEWIS	640	74	3	0	3	19	18
	LINCOLN	45	3	0	0	2	0	1
23	MASON	300	47	1	1	21	13	8
	OKANOGAN	209	227	2	1	105	2	15
25	PACIFIC	89	23	0	0	4	1	1
_	PEND OREILLE	47	0	0	0	2	0	1
27	PIERCE	2,944	426	1,256	200	78	519	309
28	SAN JUAN	55	6	1	2	0	2	2
29	SKAGIT	645	518	14	7	15	19	75
30	SKAMANIA	33	5	0	0	0	0	4
31	SNOHOMISH SPOKANE	2,179 4,282	308 218	185 208	77 32	62 141	150 128	201 139
33	STEVENS	4,282 219	218 6	208 5	32 0	38	128	
34	THURSTON	1,294	118	88	52	38 20	89	3 66
35	WAHKIAKUM	1,294	0	0	0	0	09	0
36	WALLA WALLA	200	212	2	2	0	9	22
	WHATCOM	796	259	10	18	52	29	75
38	WHITMAN	196 128	259	10	6	5	3	73 8
39	YAKIMA	1,012	2,594	28	10	104	3 117	323
	OUT OF STATE	1,012	2,394	26	2	4	6	9
	TOTAL	26.513	9.807	4.414	1,165	945	2.046	2.594
	IOIAL	20,010	3,007	7,717	1,100	3 1 0	∠,∪+∪	۷,004

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for the coding of both race and ethnicity.

Table 8:

Average Monthly Number of Children Using
Working Connections Child Care by Ethnicity in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)

				No	Non-Hispanic Minority Groups			
		White, Non-	Hispanic,	Black or	Asian or	American Indian		
C	ounty of Provider	Hispanic	Any Race	African American	Pacific Islander	or Alaska Native	Other	Missing
01	ADAMS	46	181	0	0	1	0	9
02	ASOTIN	232	24	4	2	7	4	7
03	BENTON	973	610	29	11	8	63	134
04	CHELAN	504	643	3	1	6	26	61
05	CLALLAM	547	28	5	6	55	8	15
06	CLARK	3,074	366	255	64	28	147	121
07	COLUMBIA	12	2	0	0	1	0	0
80	COWLITZ	1,313	148	19	11	22	34	39
09	DOUGLAS	208	268	1	2	2	11	31
10	FERRY	34	3	0	0	13	1	1
11	FRANKLIN	234	1,223	27	4	4	52	148
12	GARFIELD	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
13	GRANT	529	754	18	1	5	7	72
14	GRAYS HARBOR	556	88	6	4	42	12	15
15	ISLAND	244	55	16	9	3	54	14
16	JEFFERSON	152	4	3	1	3	5	5
17	KING	4,420	1,244	3,462	759	135	670	1,009
18	KITSAP	1,138	117	165	57	65	123	48
19	KITTITAS	147	28	2	3	1	9	10
20	KLICKITAT	85	12	0	0	7	3	1
21	LEWIS	848	100	6	1	5	30	23
22	LINCOLN	53	3	0	0	2	0	2
23	MASON	379	62	2	3	25	15	12
24	OKANOGAN	244	245	2	1	108	2	17
25	PACIFIC	111	32	1	0	5	2	1
26	PEND OREILLE	66	0	0	0	3	0	1
27	PIERCE	3,871	589	1,831	257	111	667	432
28	SAN JUAN	60	6	1	2	0	2	2
29	SKAGIT	791	617	16	7	21	24	88
30	SKAMANIA	51	5	0	1	1	0	6
31	SNOHOMISH	2,902	401	262	92	99	211	261
32	SPOKANE	5,254	279	306	45	164	156	189
33	STEVENS	276	8	5	1	41	3	5
34	THURSTON	1,658	149	116	63	26	110	81
35	WAHKIAKUM	18	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WALLA WALLA	253	255	4	3	0	9	27
37	WHATCOM	977	339	17	25	80	36	90
	WHITMAN	150	6	14	6	5	3	8
	YAKIMA	1,301	3,284	43	13	203	143	406
40	OUT OF STATE	198	33	33	5	6	6	10
	TOTAL	33,910	12,211	6,672	1,458	1,311	2,647	3,396

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family--information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for the coding of both race and ethnicity.

Table 9:
Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Ethnicity in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)

				No	n-Hispanic Mind	ority Groups		
		White, Non-	Hispanic,	Black or	Asian or	American Indian		_
C	ounty of Provider	Hispanic	Any Race	African American	Pacific Islander	or Alaska Native	Other	Missing
01	ADAMS	19.4%	76.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%	3.7%
02	ASOTIN	83.0%	8.6%	1.4%	0.8%	2.4%	1.3%	2.5%
03	BENTON	53.2%	33.4%	1.6%	0.6%	0.4%	3.4%	7.3%
04	CHELAN	40.6%	51.7%	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	2.1%	4.9%
05	CLALLAM	82.4%	4.1%	0.7%	1.0%	8.3%	1.2%	2.3%
06	CLARK	75.8%	9.0%	6.3%	1.6%	0.7%	3.6%	3.0%
07	COLUMBIA *							
	COWLITZ	82.8%	9.3%	1.2%	0.7%	1.4%	2.2%	2.4%
09	DOUGLAS	39.8%	51.3%	0.1%	0.5%	0.4%	2.0%	6.0%
10	FERRY	66.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.9%	1.0%	1.1%
11	FRANKLIN	13.8%	72.3%	1.6%	0.2%	0.3%	3.1%	8.7%
12	GARFIELD *							
	GRANT	38.2%	54.4%	1.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%	5.2%
14	GRAYS HARBOR	77.0%	12.2%	0.8%	0.5%	5.8%	1.6%	2.0%
15	ISLAND	61.8%	13.8%	4.1%	2.2%	0.8%	13.6%	3.7%
16	JEFFERSON	88.1%	2.2%	1.7%	0.6%	1.7%	3.1%	2.6%
17	KING	37.8%	10.6%	29.6%	6.5%	1.2%	5.7%	8.6%
18	KITSAP	66.5%	6.8%	9.7%	3.3%	3.8%	7.2%	2.8%
19	KITTITAS	73.8%	13.8%	0.9%	1.4%	0.4%	4.6%	5.1%
_	KLICKITAT	78.7%	11.5%	0.1%	0.0%	6.5%	2.5%	0.7%
21	LEWIS	83.8%	9.9%	0.6%	0.1%	0.5%	2.9%	2.2%
22	LINCOLN	86.9%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.3%	3.4%
23	MASON	76.2%	12.5%	0.4%	0.5%	5.0%	3.0%	2.4%
	OKANOGAN	39.5%	39.5%	0.3%	0.2%	17.4%	0.4%	2.7%
_	PACIFIC	73.1%	21.0%	0.3%	0.2%	3.3%	1.2%	0.9%
26	PEND OREILLE	94.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	1.2%
	PIERCE	49.9%	7.6%	23.6%	3.3%	1.4%	8.6%	5.6%
	SAN JUAN	82.5%	8.7%	0.8%	2.8%	0.5%	2.4%	2.3%
	SKAGIT	50.6%	39.4%	1.1%	0.5%	1.3%	1.5%	5.6%
	SKAMANIA	80.1%	8.1%	0.0%	1.6%	1.3%	0.3%	8.7%
-	SNOHOMISH	68.7%	9.5%	6.2%	2.2%	2.3%	5.0%	6.2%
	SPOKANE	82.2%	4.4%	4.8%	0.7%	2.6%	2.4%	3.0%
	STEVENS	81.4%	2.5%	1.5%	0.3%	12.0%	0.9%	1.4%
	THURSTON	75.3%	6.8%	5.3%	2.9%	1.2%	5.0%	3.7%
	WAHKIAKUM *							
	WALLA WALLA	45.9%	46.2%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	1.7%	4.9%
	WHATCOM	62.5%	21.7%	1.1%	1.6%	5.1%	2.3%	5.7%
	WHITMAN	78.4%	3.3%	7.3%	2.9%	2.5%	1.6%	4.0%
	YAKIMA	24.1%	60.9%	0.8%	0.2%	3.8%	2.7%	7.5%
40	OUT OF STATE	68.2%	11.4%	11.2%	1.6%	2.2%	2.1%	3.3%
	TOTAL	55.0%	19.8%	10.8%	2.4%	2.1%	4.3%	5.5%

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 2. Ethnicity was coded based on information from ACES and SSPS; SSPS has only one field available for the coding of both race and ethnicity. 3. Percent is based on average monthly number of children. 4. * Starred counties: percentage data for three counties serving less than 25 children per month on WCCC is suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.

Table 10:

Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Age of Child in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)

TANF Only

	County of Provider	Infant	Toddler	Preschool	School-Age
01	ADAMS	5	6	13	13
02	ASOTIN	7	17	19	19
03	BENTON	23	59	114	117
04	CHELAN	15	34	71	56
05	CLALLAM	13	36	68	61
06	CLARK	69	189	361	361
07	COLUMBIA	0	0	1	1
80	COWLITZ	38	91	153	131
09	DOUGLAS	7	8	20	21
10	FERRY	1	2	2	6
11	FRANKLIN	28	54	94	96
12	GARFIELD	0	0	0	0
13	GRANT	31	54	93	89
14	GRAYS HARBOR	20	45	84	72
15	ISLAND	3	9	24	15
16	JEFFERSON	3	9	15	7
17	KING	257	576	1,223	1,176
18	KITSAP	35	78	136	107
19	KITTITAS	5	13	19	14
20	KLICKITAT	3	5	16	14
21	LEWIS	31	55	91	77
22	LINCOLN	1	1	5	3
23	MASON	9	21	38	37
24	OKANOGAN	8	13	23	15
25	PACIFIC	2	6	14	11
26	PEND OREILLE	1	4	8	6
27	PIERCE	174	390	745	718
28	SAN JUAN		0	2	3
29	SKAGIT	27	48	114	82
30	SKAMANIA	0	3	4	14
31	SNOHOMISH	96	214	399	356
32	SPOKANE	132	230	460	422
33	STEVENS	5	10	31	21
34	THURSTON	42	92	171	171
35	WAHKIAKUM	0	1	2	2
36	WALLA WALLA	9	21	39	36
37	WHATCOM	33	63	116	114
38	WHITMAN	3	5	11	7
39	YAKIMA	119	226	423	437
40	OUT OF STATE	4	10	21	20
	TOTAL	1,256	2,696	5,242	4,928

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. The following break-down was used for age categories: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; toddler, 12-29 months old; preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; school-age, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade.

Table 11:

Average Monthly Number of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Age of Child in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)

Non-TANF Only

	County of Provider	Infant	Toddler	Preschool	School-Age
01	ADAMS	16	36	80	69
02	ASOTIN	10	44	87	76
03	BENTON	94	222	523	677
04	CHELAN	79	167	392	431
05	CLALLAM	24	67	199	197
06	CLARK	127	398	1,135	1,415
07	COLUMBIA	0	1	7	4
80	COWLITZ	59	172	426	517
09	DOUGLAS	28	71	164	204
10	FERRY	3	8	11	20
11	FRANKLIN	125	247	476	574
12	GARFIELD	0	0	2	0
13	GRANT	88	188	396	447
14	GRAYS HARBOR	25	81	177	217
15	ISLAND	21	58	122	143
16	JEFFERSON	5	17	55	62
17	KING	424	1,288	3,236	3,517
18	KITSAP	80	221	508	548
19	KITTITAS	5	30	64	50
20	KLICKITAT	3	10	26	30
21	LEWIS	43	117	274	325
22	LINCOLN	2	6	21	24
23	MASON	20	58	143	171
24	OKANOGAN	39	99	215	208
25	PACIFIC	4	22	40	53
26	PEND OREILLE	1	4	18	27
27	PIERCE	299	850	2,150	2,431
28	SAN JUAN	2	11	26	28
29	SKAGIT	82	206	512	493
30	SKAMANIA	1	4	18	20
31	SNOHOMISH	164	488	1,243	1,268
32	SPOKANE	282	794	1,869	2,204
33	STEVENS	18	43	98	114
34	THURSTON	85	251	642	747
35	WAHKIAKUM	2	2	6	5
36	WALLA WALLA	20	61	185	179
37	WHATCOM	61	190	458	529
38	WHITMAN	7	26	62	71
39	YAKIMA	291	662	1,491	1,744
40	OUT OF STATE	16	42	87	91
	TOTAL	2,653	7,264	17,640	19,927

Notes: 1. TANF status was determined based on linkage of primary recipient (household head) information in SSPS payment file to TANF receipt as indicated in the ACES eligibility file. 2. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available. Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. 3. The following break-down was used for age categories: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; toddler, 12-29 months old; preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; school-age, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade.

Table 12:

Average Monthly Number of Children Using
Working Connections Child Care by Age of Child in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)

	County of Provider	Infant	Toddler	Preschool	School-Age
01	ADAMS	20	43	92	82
02	ASOTIN	17	61	106	95
03	BENTON	116	280	637	794
04	CHELAN	94	200	462	487
05	CLALLAM	37	103	267	258
06	CLARK	196	587	1,495	1,776
07	COLUMBIA	0	1	8	5
08	COWLITZ	97	263	579	647
09	DOUGLAS	35	79	184	225
10	FERRY	4	10	12	26
11	FRANKLIN	153	301	569	670
12	GARFIELD	0	0	2	0
13	GRANT	119	241	489	536
14	GRAYS HARBOR	46	127	261	289
15	ISLAND	24	66	146	158
16	JEFFERSON	8	26	70	69
17	KING	681	1,864	4,459	4,694
18	KITSAP	115	299	644	655
19	KITTITAS	9	43	83	64
20	KUCKITAT	6	16	42	44
21	LEWIS	74	172	365	402
22	LINCOLN	2	6	26	27
23	MASON	29	79	182	208
24	OKANOGAN	47	112	238	223
25	PACIFIC	6	28	54	64
26	PEND OREILLE	2	8	26	33
27	PIERCE	473	1,240	2,895	3,150
28	SAN JUAN	2	12	28	31
29	SKAGIT	109	254	626	575
30	SKAMANIA	2	7	22	34
31	SNOHOMISH	259	702	1,642	1,624
32	SPOKANE	413	1,025	2,330	2,626
33	STEVENS	22	53	129	136
34	THURSTON	127	343	813	918
35	WAHKIAKUM	2	3	8	7
36	WALLA WALLA	29	82	224	215
37	WHATCOM	94	253	574	643
38	WHITMAN	10	31	73	78
39	YAKIMA	411	888	1,914	2,181
40	OUT OF STATE	20	53	108	111
	TOTAL	3,909	9,960	22,882	24,854

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available.

Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. **2.** The following break-down was used for age categories: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; toddler, 12-29 months old; preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; school-age, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade.

Table 13:
Percent of Children Using Working Connections Child Care
by Age of Child in SFY05 (July 2004-June 2005)


	County of Provider	Infant	Toddler	Preschool	School-Age
01	ADAMS	8.5%	18.0%	38.9%	34.5%
02	ASOTIN	6.2%	22.0%	37.8%	34.1%
03	BENTON	6.4%	15.3%	34.9%	43.5%
04	CHELAN	7.5%	16.1%	37.2%	39.2%
05	CLALLAM	5.6%	15.5%	40.1%	38.8%
06	CLARK	4.8%	14.5%	36.9%	43.8%
07	COLUMBIA *				
80	COWLITZ	6.1%	16.6%	36.5%	40.8%
09	DOUGLAS	6.7%	15.2%	35.1%	43.0%
10	FERRY	7.3%	18.9%	24.1%	49.8%
11	FRANKLIN	9.0%	17.8%	33.6%	39.6%
12	GARFIELD *				
13	GRANT	8.6%	17.4%	35.3%	38.7%
14	GRAYS HARBOR	6.3%	17.5%	36.1%	40.0%
15	ISLAND	6.1%	16.8%	37.0%	40.0%
16	JEFFERSON	4.5%	14.8%	40.7%	39.9%
17	KING	5.8%	15.9%	38.1%	40.1%
18	KITSAP	6.7%	17.4%	37.6%	38.2%
19	KITTITAS	4.7%	21.6%	41.7%	31.9%
20	KLICKITAT	5.9%	14.5%	38.9%	40.7%
21	LEWIS	7.3%	17.0%	36.0%	39.7%
22	LINCOLN	3.7%	10.3%	42.1%	43.9%
23	MASON	5.8%	15.9%	36.5%	41.8%
24	OKANOGAN	7.5%	18.0%	38.4%	36.0%
25	PACIFIC	3.7%	18.6%	35.8%	41.9%
26	PEND OREILLE	3.2%	11.5%	37.3%	47.9%
27	PIERCE	6.1%	16.0%	37.3%	40.6%
28	SAN JUAN	3.1%	16.1%	38.7%	42.1%
29	SKAGIT	6.9%	16.2%	40.0%	36.8%
30	SKAMANIA	2.5%	10.3%	34.4%	52.9%
31	SNOHOMISH	6.1%	16.6%	38.8%	38.4%
32	SPOKANE	6.5%	16.0%	36.4%	41.1%
33	STEVENS	6.6%	15.5%	38.0%	39.9%
34	THURSTON	5.8%	15.6%	36.9%	41.7%
35	WAHKIAKUM *				
36	WALLA WALLA	5.3%	15.0%	40.6%	39.1%
37	WHATCOM	6.0%	16.2%	36.7%	41.1%
38	WHITMAN	5.0%	16.0%	38.2%	40.7%
39	YAKIMA	7.6%	16.5%	35.5%	40.4%
40	OUT OF STATE	6.8%	18.2%	37.0%	38.0%
	TOTAL	6.3%	16.2%	37.1%	40.3%

Notes: 1. County assignment was determined by the county of the providers, not the county of the child/family, with information on physical location taken from the CAMIS licensing file when available.


Families/households/children were uniquely assigned to one county based on the category in which the most payments were made. **2.** The following break-down was used for age categories: Infant, under 12 months old in the month of service; toddler, 12-29 months old; preschool, 30 or more months old and not eligible by age to be enrolled in kindergarten; school-age, eligible by age to be in kindergarten or a higher grade. **3.** Percent is based on average monthly number of children. **4.** * Starred counties: percentage data for three counties serving less than 25 children per month on WCCC is suppressed because the percentage calculations for those counties will fluctuate widely based on the movement of a few cases.

County Level Demographics for WCCC, State Fiscal Year 2005


Map 1: Percent of WCCC Children on TANF, by County of Provider for SFY05




Map 2:
Percent of WCCC Children Using Providers Exempt from Licensing,
by County of Provider for SFY05




Map 3:
Percent of WCCC Children Using Center Providers,
by County of Provider for SFY05




Map 4:
Percent of WCCC Children Using Licensed Family Home Providers,
by County of Provider for SFY05




Map 5: White, Non-Hispanic Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children, by County of Provider for SFY05



Map 6: Hispanic Children (Any Race) as a Percent of All WCCC Children, by County of Provider for SFY05



Map 7:
Black or African American Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children,
by County of Provider for SFY05



Map 8: Asian or Pacific Islander Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children, by County of Provider for SFY05



Map 9: American Indian or Alaska Native Children as a Percent of All WCCC Children, by County of Provider for SFY05

